Nickel-Mediated Enantiospecific Silylation via Benzylic C–OMe Bond Cleavage

Venkadesh Balakrishnan,[†] Vetrivelan Murugesan,[†] Bincy Chindan, and Ramesh Rasappan*



drug molecules, as enantiopure benzylic alcohols have been used to build such a stereogenic center, but are limited to the construction of a C-C bond. Silylation of alkyl alcohols has the potential to build bioactive molecules and building blocks; however, the development of such a process is challenging and unknown. Herein, we describe an unprecedented AgF-assisted nickel catalysis in the enantiospecific silylation of benzylic ethers.



C ross-coupling reactions that forge C–X (X = C, B, N, O, Si, etc.) bonds are of central importance in synthetic organic chemistry.^{1–8} The use of enantiopure benzylic alcohol as an electrophilic coupling partner [via $C(sp^3)$ –O bond cleavage] is highly attractive because the benzylic stereocenters exist in numerous bioactive and drug molecules, including Cinacalcet, Naproxen, and Methallenestril (Scheme 1).⁹ Methods exploiting benzylic alcohols [via $C(sp^3)$ –O bond

Scheme 1. Cross-Coupling Reactions via C–O Bond Cleavage



cleavage] in cross-coupling reactions mostly rely on the conversion of alcohols into corresponding esters^{10–12} or ethers.^{3,13–15} Among them, methyl ethers are highly attractive largely because of the stability, atom economy, and a commonly encountered protecting group in organic synthesis.¹⁶ The group of Shi employed MeMgBr to successfully cross-couple benzylic methyl ethers;¹⁷ recently, Jarvo and coworkers improved the methodology to incorporate enantiopure methyl ethers in cross-coupling reactions (Scheme 1a).^{3,11,18–23} The success of this methodology stems from the formation of η^3 -benzylnickel complexes,^{17,24–30} which is favored by the molecules having extended conjugation and lower Dewar's energy (aromaticity).^{31–33}

Despite the success of Grignard reagents (unhindered) as a coupling partner in $C(sp^3)$ –OMe bond cleavage, the crosscoupling reactions of ethers remain essentially limited to C–C bond-forming reactions. On the contrary, organosilicons (C– Si bond) have widespread applications across various disciplines^{34–36} and are versatile intermediates in organic synthesis.^{37–41} Consequently, considerable effort in crosscoupling reactions has been spent to contribute to the synthesis of organosilanes, including silylation via C–N bond cleavage (Scheme 1b).^{37–44} Recently, for the first time, we introduced an economical Me₃SiMgI (directly synthesized from TMSI) in cross-coupling reactions [C(sp²)–OCb bond cleavage] for the synthesis of ArSiMe₃,⁴⁵ a useful organosilane for further synthetic transformations (Scheme 1b).

Received: December 31, 2020 Published: February 2, 2021





In accordance with our interest in nickel-mediated crosscoupling reactions,^{45,46} we were further interested in capitalizing on the application of Me₃SiMgI in enantiospecific crosscoupling reactions via $C(sp^3)$ -O bond cleavage. We were optimistic that the methodology developed by Shi,¹⁷ Jarvo, $^{11,18-21}$ and others 10,47,48 (Scheme 1a) could be borrowed for the use of Me₃SiMgI as the coupling partner; however, these literature protocols were not amenable to Me₃SiMgI, and traces of the cross-coupled product were observed (Scheme 1c), highlighting the limitation of these literature strategies. The commonly employed silylating agent PhMe₂SiBpin^{13,49} also failed to furnish the desired product. As a result, we developed an AgF-assisted nickel catalysis that results in the complete conversion of benzylic methyl ethers. The methodology was also extended to enantiospecific silvlation.

We commenced our study with 2-(1-methoxyethyl)naphthalene 1a and Me₃SiMgI 2a [prepared from TMSI and Mg (stored at room temperature)]. When benzyl methyl ether 1a was subjected to the previous methods,^{17,18} a minimal amount of cross-coupled product 3a was observed (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Subsequently, a library of nickel catalysts and ligands were screened, and the selected entries are listed in Table 1. Both NiBr₂·glyme and NiBr₂·diglyme were equally effective, affording the cross-coupled product 3a in 21% and

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

CC 1a	OMe Nii + Me ₃ SiMgi 1.5 eq 2a	ar₂ diglyme PCy3 additive HF, rt, 6 h 3a	SiMe ₃ +	5a 5b
entry	deviation from above	e additive (equiv)	1a, 5b (%) ^b	3a (%) ^b
1 ^c	Ni(cod) ₂ /rac-BINAP	_	97,4	<5
2 ^d	NiCl ₂ /dppf, 110 °C	_	62, 20	18
3	NiBr ₂ ·glyme	-	71, 5	21
4	none	-	70, 5	22, 21 ^e
5	$Ni(cod)_2$	-	95, <5	<5
6	NiCl ₂ ·diglyme	-	82, 0	12
7	dcype	-	74, 5	20
8	none	AgF (2.0)	28, <5	66
9	none	AgBr (2.0)	50, 19	24
10	none	LiF (2.0)	55, 10	36
11	none	CsF (2.0)	57, 8	34
12	none	MgBr ₂	78, 14	6
13	0 °C	AgF (2.0)	ND, <5	96
14	0 °C	AgF (0.5)	<5, <5	91, 88 ^f
15	0 °C	AgF (0.2)	20, 7	70
16	Et ₂ O, 0 °C	AgF (0.5)	79, <5	31
17	toluene, 0 °C	AgF (0.5)	38, <5	66
18	NiF ₂ , 0 °C	-	96, <5	<5
19	1.0 equiv of 2a, 0 $^\circ C$	AgF (0.5)	36, <5	62
20	1 equiv of NiBr ₂ ·PCy ₃ , 0	°C –	ND, 52	44
21 ^g	0 °C	AgF (0.5)	73, <5	25

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 0.20 mmol of **1a**, 0.30 mmol of Me₃SiMgI-TMEDA **2a** (0.35 M in toluene), 20 mol % NiBr₂·diglyme, 20 mol % PCy₃, additive, and 0.15 M THF. ^{*b*}GC yield. ^{*c*}With 5 mol % Ni(cod)₂, 10 mol % *rac*-BINAP, and toluene for 24 h. ^{*d*}With 2 mol % NiCl₂/ dppf and 2 mol % dppf for 12 h. ^{*e*}After 20 h. ^{*f*}isolated yield and repeated ~10 times throughout the project. ^{*g*}**1a** was added after the addition of all other reagents. 22% yields, respectively, with traces (<5%) of eliminated and reduced byproducts 5a and 5b (entries 3 and 4, respectively). While NiCl₂·diglyme afforded product 3a in 12% yield (entry 6), Ni(cod)₂ completely shut down the reaction (discussed below). The use of a bidentate phosphine ligand (dcype) had no impact on the yield (entry 7). This led us to screen a list of additives, and the results are listed in Table 1 (entries 8-12). A remarkable improvement in the yield to 66% was observed with AgF (2 equiv) being as an additive (entry 8). The other additives, including AgBr, LiF, and CsF, affoded product 3a in yields of only 24%, 36%, and 34%, respectively, with a significant amount of byproducts 5a and 5b. Pleasingly, the yield soared to 96% when the temperature was decreased to 0 °C (entry 13), possibly slowing the degradation of nickel to black. The use of less polar Et₂O or toluene had a detrimental effect on the yield (entries 16 and 17). No reaction was observed when NiF₂ was employed in place of NiBr₂ diglyme (entry 18). Decreasing the amount of Me₃SiMgI also decreased the yield (entry 19). Employing stoichiometric NiBr₂·diglyme afforded 3a in 44% yield and 5b in 52% yield (entry 20) with complete consumption of 1a. Further tuning of the reaction condition revealed that the reaction could be carried out with 0.5 equiv of AgF and 10 mol % NiBr2. diglyme/PCy₃ without a significant compromise in the yield (entry 14).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we moved further to expand the scope of the substrates. Methyl ethers 1 with various α -substituents, including alkyl and aryl groups, were well tolerated; the cyclohexyl (1b), ethyl (1c), phenyl (1d), and benzyl (1e) derivatives afforded the corresponding crosscoupled products in very good yields (Table 2). The 1naphthyl derivative afforded product 3f in 50% isolated yield, and the use of sterically bulkier PhMe2SiMgBr and Ph2MeSiMgBr also afforded the corresponding products 3ga and 3gb in good yields.⁵⁰ A broad range of functional groups were well tolerated, which provides an opportunity for further derivatization of the cross-coupled products. Aryl ethers $[C(sp^2)-O]$ (1i, 1j, 1t, and 1ac) were intact under the optimized condition, and the chemoselective cross-coupled products (3i, 3j, 3t, and 3ac) were isolated in 70%, 86%, 95%, and 85% yields, respectively. The sensitive ketal group was stable and afforded coupled product 3n in 52% yield; partial decomposition of 3n may be at play. Substrates bearing fluoride (1k), CF₃ (1m), TMS (1l), amine (1ab), and amide (1u) groups were also compatible and afforded the crosscoupled products in very good yields. Interestingly, boronic ester 1s afforded cross-coupled product 3s in 78% yield, although it can provide trialkyl borane with organometallic reagents. Ketone derivative 10 also afforded coupled product 30 in 46% yield along with traces of an undesired alcohol byproduct. Allylic ethers were subsequently investigated;⁵¹ as expected, the reactions were efficient, affording the synthetically versatile allylsilanes 3p-u in excellent yields and E/Zratios.

Given the importance of heteroarenes in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals, we subjected pyridine, benzothiophene, and thiophene derivatives to cross-coupling reactions and obtained cross-coupled products 3w-z in good yields. It is worth noting that the allyl ethers (1q and 1r), pyridines (1wand 1x), and benzothiophene 1y do not require AgF, and it is expected that substrates with varying Dewar's resonance energy (aromaticity)^{31-33,52-57} may have a profound impact on the outcome of the reactivity. For example, the empirical pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

Table 2. Scope of Substrates^a



^aReaction conditions: 0.2 mmol of **1a**, 0.3 mmol of Me₃SiMgI-TMEDA **2a** (0.35 M in toluene), NiBr₂·diglyme (10 mol %), PCy₃ (10 mol %), AgF, and THF (0.15 M). ^bToluene instead of THF. ^cWith 20 mol % NiBr₂·diglyme and PCy₃. ^dWith 15 mol % NiBr₂· diglyme and PCy₃. ^eToluene:THF (4:1). ^fWith 5 mol % NiBr₂· diglyme and PCy₃. ^gWith 0.24 mmol of **2a**. ^hMOE (methoxyethyl) protection instead of methyl, MgBr₂ (1.0 equiv), DPEphos (20 mol %), and NiBr₂·diglyme (20 mol %). ⁱNMR yield.

resonance energy for benzothiophene (π -rich) **1**y is lower than that of naphthalene (80.3 kcal/mol vs 69.8 kcal/mol), and the π -deficient pyridines **3w** and **3x** have a higher normalized resonance energy per π -electron ($\beta = 0.058$) than naphthalene ($\beta = 0.055$). Additional coordination from pyridine's nitrogen may be at play; however, thiophene **3y** ($\beta = 0.032$) does require AgF. The simple phenyl derivatives **1ad**-**af** underwent cross-coupling reactions with moderate conversion; however, the products were inseparable from the impurities due to the lower boiling point and apolar nature of the products. Phenyl derivatives **1aa**-**ac** (benzene, $\beta = 0.065$) require a methoxyethyl (MOE) directing group to facilitate the oxidative addition and to deliver products **3aa**-**ac** in very good yields. Primary methyl ether **1ag** gave 91% silylated product **3ag**; however, sterically hindered tertiary methyl ether **1ah** gave only 28% of cross-coupled product **3ah**. In general, most of the heteroarenes and methoxyethyl (MOE)-protected alcohols do not require AgF. Although few allylic substrates afforded excellent conversion in the absence of AgF, some of them still require AgF to improve the conversion.

Unfortunately, alkene **1h** did not yield the cross-coupled product. Although alkenes are known to promote nickelmediated cross-coupling reactions, ^{58,59} it has been reported that 1,5-cyclohexadiene (COD) may form an off-cycle nickel species that is ineffective in C–O bond cleavage.⁶⁰ Similarly, we also observed the inactivity of Ni(cod)₂ (Table 1, entry 5); however, employing 0.1 equiv of COD in the standard reaction still afforded 82% of cross-coupled product **3a**. We determined that the inactivity of Ni(cod)₂ is due to the unavailability of COD to stabilize the Ni(0) species. With 1 equiv of styrene, the reaction was completely shut down. The formation of volatile silylated byproducts from **1h**, styrene, and COD is responsible for the poor conversion. A gradual increase in the amount of COD gradually decreased the yield of **3a** (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Alkenes Inhibit the Reaction



As expected, the strategy was successfully extended to enantiospecific silylation of enantiopure alcohols via a twoelectron pathway (instead of SET^3) to afford the cross-coupled product with inversion of stereochemistry.²⁰ Under the optimized condition from Table 1, we obtained silylated product 7a with 94% es (Scheme 3). A change in the additive,

Scheme 3. Radical Clock Experiment



solvent, or temperature was detrimental to the enantiospecificity. In addition, the scope of the substrates was extended, and the results are summarized in Table 3. Most of the methyl ethers 6 afforded very good enantiospecificity, although a few substrates gave moderate enantiospecificity. Benzothiophene afforded 70% es; however, the enantiopurity of the corresponding methyl ether was only 35%.⁶¹ The absolute stereochemistry of product 7 was identified via Fleming–Tamao oxidation [**5d** (Table 3)].

We carried out a radical clock experiment with substrate 6j to identify the loss of enantiospecificity via the formation of a radical intermediate; however, the reaction afforded crosscoupled product 7j in 82% isolated yield, so thus, the homolytic cleavage of the C–OMe bond is unlikely. The possibility of reversible oxidative addition was also examined, and the unreacted/recovered methyl ether 6a did not lose

Table 3. Enantiospecific Silylation a,b



^{*a*}With 0.2 mmol of 6, 0.3 mmol of 2a, Me₃SiMgI (0.35 M in toluene), NiBr₂·diglyme (10 mol %), PCy₃ (10 mol %), AgF (0.5 equiv), and THF (0.15 M). ^{*b*}GC yield. ^{*c*}Toluene instead of THF. ^{*d*}With 20 mol % NiBr₂.diglyme and PCy₃. ^{*e*}Toluene:THF (4:1).

enantiopurity (see page 67 of the Supporting Information). In addition, employing KOEt or NaOEt in the standard reaction did not intercept the intermediate nickel complex (Scheme 4)

Scheme 4. Mechanistic Hypothesis



to deliver the corresponding -OEt-substituted product of **6a**. These experiments exclude the reversibility of oxidative addition, and a double inversion might be responsible for the loss of enantiospecificity.²¹

Although a detailed mechanistic study is in progress, we propose a mechanism based on the earlier findings.^{45,60} Upon mixing NiBr₂ and Me₃SiMgI, we expect the formation of Ni(0) species; however, the Ni(I) species and the Ni(I)/Ni(III) catalytic cycle cannot be ruled out without further studies. The formed Ni(0) species may form a reversible or irreversible ate complex with Me₃SiMgI;¹³ a further study is in progress to identify the nature of the resting state. Oxidative addition of

Ni(0) complex A to the methyl ether leads to intermediate Ni(II) complex B. Subsequent transmetalation with Me₃SiMgI generates intermediate complex C, which delivers cross-coupled product 3 via reductive elimination. The catalytic role of AgF is being studied in our laboratory, it can act as an oxidant or halide scavenger. The in situ formation of organosilver or bimetallic (M-Ag) complexes cannot be ruled out without further study. They could also act as a Lewis acid.

In summary, we developed nickel-mediated silylation via $C(sp^3)-O$ bond cleavage for the first time. The methodology is compatible with a variety of functional groups, including ketone, acetal, amide, amine, boronic ester, and aryl ether groups. Heteroarenes and simple arenes are also found to be compatible. Sterically bulkier PhMe₂SiMgBr and Ph₂MeSiMgBr also afforded the cross-coupled products. Alkenes inhibit the reaction by the formation unwanted byproducts. A radical clock experiment showed that there is no radical intermediate present in the reaction. The methodology was also extended to enantiospecific silylation. The identification of the role of AgF, the actual intermediates, and the mechanistic pathway is currently being pursued in our laboratory.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c04316.

Additional observations and data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Ramesh Rasappan – School of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala 695551, India; orcid.org/ 0000-0002-3209-3315; Email: rr@iisertvm.ac.in

Authors

- Venkadesh Balakrishnan School of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala 695551, India
- **Vetrivelan Murugesan** School of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala 695551, India
- Bincy Chindan School of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala 695551, India

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c04316

Author Contributions

[†]V.B. and V.M. contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Science and Engineering Research Board, Ramanujan Fellowship SB/S2/RJN059/2015, and IISER-Trivandrum for financial support. V.B., V.M., and B.C. acknowledge IISER, Trivandrum, for fellowships.

(1) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. Cross-Couplings using aryl ethers via C-O bond activation enabled by nickel catalysts. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, 48, 1717–1726.

(2) Tasker, S. Z.; Standley, E. A.; Jamison, T. F. Recent advances in homogeneous nickel catalysis. *Nature* **2014**, *509*, 299–309.

(3) Tollefson, E. J.; Hanna, L. E.; Jarvo, E. R. Stereospecific nickelcatalyzed cross-coupling reactions of benzylic ethers and esters. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2344–2353.

(4) Yu, D.-G.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. exploration of new C-O electrophiles in cross-coupling reactions. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2010, 43, 1486–1495.

(5) Li, B.-J.; Yu, D.-G.; Sun, C.-L.; Shi, Z.-J. Activation of "inert" alkenyl/aryl C-O bond and its application in cross-coupling reactions. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 1728–1759.

(6) Cornella, J.; Zarate, C.; Martin, R. Metal-catalyzed activation of ethers via C–O bond cleavage: a new strategy for molecular diversity. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 8081–8097.

(7) Zeng, H.; Qiu, Z.; Domínguez-Huerta, A.; Hearne, Z.; Chen, Z.; Li, C.-J. An adventure in sustainable cross-coupling of phenols and derivatives via carbon–oxygen bond cleavage. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 510–519.

(8) Liu, F.; Jiang, H. j.; Zhou, Y.; Shi, Z. j. Direct transformation of arenols based on C—O activation. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2020**, *38*, 855–863.

(9) Cherney, A. H.; Kadunce, N. T.; Reisman, S. E. Enantioselective and enantiospecific transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of organometallic reagents to construct C-C bonds. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 9587–9652.

(10) Zhou, Q.; Cobb, K.; Tan, T.; Watson, M. Stereospecific cross couplings to set benzylic, all-carbon quaternary stereocenters in high enantiopurity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12057–12060.

(11) Harris, M.; Hanna, L.; Greene, M.; Moore, C.; Jarvo, E. Retention or inversion in stereospecific nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of benzylic carbamates with arylboronic esters: control of absolute stereochemistry with an achiral catalyst. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 3303–3306.

(12) Konev, M.; Hanna, L.; Jarvo, E. Intra- and intermolecular nickel-catalyzed reductive cross-electrophile coupling reactions of benzylic esters with aryl halides. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 6730–6733.

(13) Zarate, C.; Nakajima, M.; Martin, R. A mild and ligand-free Nicatalyzed silylation via C-OMe cleavage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 1191–1197.

(14) Tobisu, M.; Yasutome, A.; Kinuta, H.; Nakamura, K.; Chatani, N. 1,3-Dicyclohexylimidazol-2-ylidene as a superior ligand for the nickel-catalyzed cross-couplings of aryl and benzyl methyl ethers with organoboron reagents. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 5572–5575.

(15) Tobisu, M.; Zhao, J.; Kinuta, H.; Furukawa, T.; Igarashi, T.; Chatani, N. Nickel-catalyzed borylation of aryl and benzyl 2-pyridyl ethers: a method for converting a robust ortho directing group. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2016**, 358, 2417–2421.

(16) Dao, H. T.; Schneider, U.; Kobayashi, S. Indium(I)-catalyzed alkyl-allyl coupling between ethers and an allylborane. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 692–694.

(17) Guan, B.; Xiang, S.; Wang, B.; Sun, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, K.; Shi, Z. Direct benzylic alkylation via Ni-catalyzed selective benzylic sp³ C-O activation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 3268–3269.

(18) Taylor, B.; Swift, E.; Waetzig, J.; Jarvo, E. Stereospecific nickelcatalyzed cross-coupling reactions of alkyl ethers: Enantioselective synthesis of diarylethanes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 389–391.

(19) Taylor, B.; Harris, M.; Jarvo, E. Synthesis of enantioenriched triarylmethanes by stereospecific cross-coupling reactions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 7790–7793.

(20) Greene, M.; Yonova, I.; Williams, F.; Jarvo, E. Traceless directing group for stereospecific nickel-catalyzed alkyl-alkyl cross-coupling reactions. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4293–4296.

(21) Yonova, I.; Johnson, A.; Osborne, C.; Moore, C.; Morrissette, N.; Jarvo, E. Stereospecific nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of

alkyl Grignard reagents and identification of selective anti-breastcancer agents. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 2422–2427.

(22) Jarvo, E. R.; Sanford, A. B. Harnessing C-O bonds in stereoselective cross-coupling and cross-electrophile coupling reactions. *Synlett* **2020**, DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1705987.

(23) Pound, S. M.; Watson, M. P. Asymmetric synthesis via stereospecific C-N and C-O bond activation of alkyl amine and alcohol derivatives. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 12286–12301.

(24) Becker, Y.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 845–850.
(25) Nettekoven, U.; Hartwig, J. A new pathway for hydroamination. Mechanism of palladium-catalyzed addition of anilines to vinylarenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1166–1167.

(26) Lindsell, W. E.; Palmer, D. D.; Preston, P. N.; Rosair, G. M.; Jones, R. V. H.; Whitton, A. J. Investigations of benzyl and aryl palladium complexes with pendant hydroxy substituents and their transformation into benzolactones on carbonylation. *Organometallics* **2005**, 24, 1119–1133.

(27) Johns, A. M.; Utsunomiya, M.; Incarvito, C. D.; Hartwig, J. F. A highly active palladium catalyst for intermolecular hydroamination. Factors that control reactivity and additions of functionalized anilines to dienes and vinylarenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1828–1839.

(28) Johns, A.; Tye, J.; Hartwig, J. Relative rates for the amination of η^3 -allyl and η^3 -benzyl complexes of palladium. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 16010–16011.

(29) Narahashi, H.; Shimizu, I.; Yamamoto, A. Synthesis of benzylpalladium complexes through C–O bond cleavage of benzylic carboxylates: Development of a novel palladium-catalyzed benzylation of olefins. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2008**, *693*, 283–296.

(30) Torregrosa, R. R. P.; Ariyarathna, Y.; Chattopadhyay, K.; Tunge, J. A. Decarboxylative benzylations of alkynes and ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 9280–9282.

(31) Dewar, M. J. S.; De Llano, C. Ground states of conjugated molecules. XI. Improved treatment of hydrocarbons. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1969**, *91*, 789–795.

(32) Dewar, M. J. S.; Holder, A. J. Aromatic energies of some heteroaromatic molecules. *Heterocycles* **1989**, *28*, 1135.

(33) Schaad, L. J.; Hess, B. A. Dewar resonance energy. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 1465–1476.

(34) Franz, A.; Wilson, S. Organosilicon molecules with medicinal applications. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 388-405.

(35) Fuchs, P. L. Handbook of reagents for organic synthesis, reagents for silicon-mediated organic synthesis; John Wiley & Sons, 2011; p 822.

(36) Apeloig, Y. The chemistry of organic silicon compounds; Wiley, 1989; p 1700.

(37) Minami, Y.; Hiyama, T. Designing cross-coupling reactions using aryl(trialkyl)silanes. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 391–399.

(38) Bähr, S.; Xue, W.; Oestreich, M. sp³)-Si Cross-coupling. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 16-24.

(39) Oestreich, M.; Hartmann, E.; Mewald, M. Activation of the Si-B interelement bond: mechanism, catalysis, and synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 402–441.

(40) Xu, L.; Li, L.; Lai, G.; Jiang, J. The recent synthesis and application of silicon-stereogenic silanes: A renewed and significant challenge in asymmetric synthesis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 1777–1790.

(41) Chan, T. H.; Wang, D. Chiral organosilicon compounds in asymmetric synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 995–1006.

(42) Xiao, P.; Gao, L.; Song, Z. Recent progress in the transitionmetal-catalyzed activation of Si–Si bonds to form C–Si bonds. *Chem.* - *Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 2407–2422.

(43) Greenhalgh, M. Iron-catalysed hydrosilylation of alkenes and alkynes; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2016; pp 33-83.

(44) Scharfbier, J.; Gross, B. M.; Oestreich, M. Stereospecific and chemoselective copper-catalyzed deaminative silylation of benzylic ammonium triflates. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1577–1580.

(45) Murugesan, V.; Balakrishnan, V.; Rasappan, R. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of carbamates with silylmagnesium reagents. *J. Catal.* **2019**, 377, 293–298.

(46) Pulikottil, F. T.; Pilli, R.; Suku, R. V.; Rasappan, R. Nickel-Catalyzed cross-coupling of alkyl carboxylic acid derivatives with pyridinium salts via C–N bond cleavage. *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 2902–2907.

(47) Nomura, N.; RajanBabu, T. V. Nickel-catalyzed asymmetric allylation of alkyl Grignard reagents. Effect of ligands, leaving groups and a kinetic resolution with a hard nucleophile. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1713–1716.

(48) Didiuk, M. T.; Morken, J. P.; Hoveyda, A. H. Phosphinedirected stereo- & regioselective Ni-catalyzed reactions of Grignard reagents with allylic ethers. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 1117–1130.

(49) Hensel, A.; Oestreich, M. Asymmetric addition of boron and silicon nucleophiles. In *Progress in Enantioselective Cu(I)-catalyzed Formation of Stereogenic Centers: Topics in Organometallic Chemistry;* Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2015; pp 135–167.

(50) The moderate yield can be attributed to the use of THF instead of toluene during the preparation of PhMe₂SiMgBr.

(51) Moser, R.; Nishikata, T.; Lipshutz, B. H. Pd-catalyzed synthesis of allylic silanes from allylic ethers. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 28–31.

(52) Hess, B. A.; Schaad, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2413–2416.

(53) Bird, C. W. The relationship of classical and magnetic criteria of aromaticity. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 9945–9952.

(54) George, P. Critique of the resonance energy concept with particular reference to nitrogen heterocycles, especially porphyrins. *Chem. Rev.* **1975**, 75, 85–111.

(55) Cyrański, M. Energetic aspects of cyclic π -electron delocalization: Evaluation of the methods of estimating aromatic stabilization energies. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, 105, 3773–3811.

(56) von Ragué Schleyer, P.; Manoharan, M.; Jiao, H.; Stahl, F. The acenes: is there a relationship between aromatic stabilization and reactivity. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3643–3646.

(57) Bachrach, S. M. Aromaticity of annulated benzene, pyridine and phosphabenzene. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 643–644, 39–46.

(58) Devasagayaraj, A.; Stüdemann, T.; Knochel, P. A new nickelcatalyzed cross-coupling reaction between sp³ carbon centers. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *34*, 2723–2725.

(59) Johnson, J.; Rovis, T. More than bystanders: the effect of olefins on transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 840–871.

(60) Dawson, D.; Oswald, V.; Borovik, A.; Jarvo, E. Identification of the active catalyst for nickel-catalyzed stereospecific kumada coupling reactions of ethers. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 3044–3048.

(61) Synthesis of enantiopure cinnamic and pyridyl ethers was not successful.